

# **Diabetes Mellitus in Living Pancreas Donors: Use of Integrated National Registry and** Pharmacy Claims Data to Characterize Donation-Related Health Outcomes

Daniel C. Brennan, Krista L. Lentine

Saint Louis University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients, Minneapolis, MN, USA; University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

## Introduction

- Living donor pancreas transplant is a potential treatment for type I diabetic patients with endorgan complications.
- The advantages of living pancreas-kidney transplant for the recipient include shorter and optimal timing, minimization of immunosuppression, and lower risk of rejection, infection, and posttransplant malignancies.
- While early surgical risks of donation have been reported, long-term medical outcomes in living pancreas donors are not known.

## **Specific Aim**

• To evaluate the post-donation risk of diabetes mellitus in living pancreas donors and to compare this risk with risk in a cohort of matched living kidney donors, as captured in linked national U.S. transplant registry and pharmacy claims databases.

**Correspondence:** Dr. Krista L. Lentine, Saint Louis University Center for Abdominal Transplantation, 1402 S. Grand Blvd., St. Louis, MO, 63104 Phone: (314) 577-8765; Email: lentinek@slu.edu

**Disclosures**. Drs. Lentine, Axelrod, and Schnitzler have ownership interest in XynManagement, Inc. Dr. Garg has received grant support from Astellas. The other authors report no conflicts of interest. Funding Support (NIH R01-DK096008)

## Methods

- We used a database that integrated national registry identifiers for living pancreas donors (1987-2015) in the U.S. with records from a nationwide pharmacy claims warehouse (2005-2015).
- To compare outcomes in controls with baseline good health, we matched living pancreas donors to living kidney donors (1:3) by demographic traits and year of donation.
- The primary outcome was prescriptions for diabetic medications and supplies as a measure of postdonation diabetes mellitus.
- In secondary analyses, we computed proportions of days covered ([days of treatment supplied over an observation window]/[days of observation]), a metric quantifying the fraction of days of identified insurance enrolment during which treatments were prescribed, among donors who received treatments.

Table 1: Comparison of baseline traits							
Baseline characteristics	Living pancreas donors (n = 45)	Living kidney donors (n = 135)					
Age at donation (years, SD)	39.0 (10.4)	39.2 (10.1)					
Female	62.2%	62.2%					
Race							
White	84.4%	85.9%					
African American	8.9%	9.6%					
Hispanic	4.4%	4.4%					
Donor/recipient relationship							
First-degree relative	75.6%	75.6%					
Other biological relative	4.4%	4.4%					

This work was supported wholly or in part by HRSA contract HHSH-250-2015-00009C. The content is the responsibility of the authors alone and does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of HHS, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

### Ngan N. Lam, Mark A. Schnitzler, Dorry L. Segev, Greg Hess, Bertram L. Kasiske, Henry B. Randall, David Axelrod, Huiling Xiao, Amit X. Garg,

## Results

- Linkage of the national US donor registry with pharmacy • Among 73 pancreas donors in the study period, 45 claims data enabled characterization of long-term medical were identified in the pharmacy database: 62% women, outcomes after living pancreas donation. 84% white, and 80% relatives of the recipient (Table I). Most donors (68.9%) underwent a simultaneous Diabetes is four times more common after living pancreas pancreas-kidney donation procedure. donation than after living kidney donation, supporting clinical consequences from reduced endocrine reserve. • Over a mean post-donation follow-up period of 16
- years, 26.7% of pancreas donors filled prescriptions for diabetes treatments, compared with 5.9% of kidney donors (odds ratio [OR] 4.13, P = 0.0003) (Table 2).
- Among treated patients, the proportion of observed follow-up days covered by diabetic supplies was 70.4% This information can be used to inform consideration of for the pancreas donors and 41.9% for the kidney future practices and informed consent related to this donors. procedure in the US and in other countries.
- Among the living pancreas donors, post-donation diabetes was not significantly associated with sex, race, ethnicity, blood type, or donor-recipient

relationship, although power was limited by the			Table 3: Comparison of baseline traits				
sample size (Table 5).					Baseline characteristics	Living pancreas donors with PDDM (n = 12)	Living pancreas donors without PDDM (n = 33)
Table 2: Comparison of post-donation diabetes treatments				5	Concomitant kidney donor	66.7%	69.7%
Diabetes treatment	Living pancreas donors	Living kidney donors	Odds ratio (95% Cl)	P value	Age at donation (years, SD)	37.7 (8.2)	39.5 (11.1)
					Female	50.0%	66.7%
					Race		
Any	26.7%	5.9%	4.13 (1.91-8.93)	0.0003	White	83.3%	84.9%
Insulin or	20.0%	E 0%		0.0001	African-American	8.3%	9.1%
oral agent	20.0%	3.370	4.30 (2.09-9.08)		Hispanic	0	6.1%
Insulin	11.1%	0			Donor/recipient relationship		
Oral agent	20.0%	5.9%	4.50 (2.09-9.68)	0.0001	First-degree relative	75.0%	75.8%
Diabetes	es 20.0% 4.4%		0.0001	Other biological relative	0	6.1%	
supplies		4.4%	6.00 (2.53-14.24)	<0.0001	Abbreviation: PDDM, post-donation diabetes mellitus		





## Discussion

• There are limitations to our study. Baseline health information such as donor health insurance, physical examination measurements such as body mass index, and laboratory values such as hemoglobin AIc and oral glucose tolerance test results were not available in our databases.



